

APPENDIX A SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AND THE COUNCIL'S RESPONSES

Respondent	Summary of representation	Council response	Actions
Resident	No comments were attached.		Contacted resident to see if would like to re-submit. Emailed 8/8/19. No response.
British Red Cross	Engage voluntary sector in "times of crisis"	Noted. The scope of the Statement of Community Involvement is limited to setting out the publicity and consultation procedures in the planning process.	None.
Resident	No comments	Noted.	None.
Carlton and Chellington PC	How will council ensure/monitor the effectiveness of engagement? C&C do not receive local papers. Para 11.18 – states enforcement action may be taken where development occurs without	We collect information within the 'about you' section of any consultation and we analyse these to see whether there are key sectors of the community who are not participating in our consultations. If there are, we will consider what action to take. We also undertake targeted consultation – as set out in SCI paragraphs 9.13 and 9.14. This is a sign of the digital age however the local press we do use is also published online. The council is becoming more digitally accessible as explained in paragraphs 2.3, 3.6 and 7.10 we are using social media much more in relation to consultations as well as providing information. Most parishes produce newsletters and we already work with them and suggest key consultations are included in forthcoming editions. The circumstances when enforcement action will be taken are set out in detail	None. We will ensure we are aware of contact details and dates for parish newsletter publications. None.

7(1)

Respondent	Summary of representation	Council response	Actions
	permission. Under what circumstances would enforcement action definitely be taken?	in the council's Planning Enforcement Plan https://www.bedford.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-enforcement/	
Wymington PC	<p>Need better communication with all households – advertise in village magazines instead of local press and accept there may be a cost.</p> <p>More consideration needs to be given to PC responses to applications. They know local area so more weight should be given.</p>	<p>Most parishes produce newsletters and we already work with them and suggest key plan making consultations are included in forthcoming editions. The council will continue to provide local council's with early notification of forthcoming consultations.</p> <p>Parish Councils are always consulted on any planning application within their parish where there is a statutory requirement for them to be consulted. Any representations made are given consideration during the assessment of the application. Weight is a matter for the decision-maker.</p>	<p>We will seek contacts for resident associations within the urban areas where there is no local council coverage. Consider use of adverts in village magazines, especially where a targeted consultation is being undertaken. We will ensure we are aware of contact details and dates for parish newsletter publication.</p> <p>None.</p>
Thurleigh PC	<p>PCs should receive formal notification of all planning apps in their parish, including permitted development apps.</p> <p>Thurleigh doesn't receive local newspapers – consider using village magazines instead (for consultations)?</p>	<p>Parish Councils are always consulted on any planning application within their parish where there is a statutory requirement for them to be consulted. Any representations made are given consideration during the planning officer's assessment of the application.</p> <p>Most parishes produce newsletters and we already work with them and suggest key plan making consultations are</p>	<p>None.</p> <p>We will seek contacts for resident associations within the urban areas where there is no</p>

Respondent	Summary of representation	Council response	Actions
		included in forthcoming editions. The council will continue to provide local council's with early notification of forthcoming consultations.	local council coverage. Consider use of adverts in village magazines, especially where a targeted consultation is being undertaken. We will ensure we are aware of contact details and dates for parish newsletter publication.
Bromham PC	No comments	Noted.	None.
Historic England	Welcome acknowledgement of statutory consultee status. We support the general aims and approach to the draft Statement of Community Involvement. We welcome the acknowledgement of Historic England as a statutory consultee under duty to co-operate at paragraph 9.12, page 32 and Appendix 1, page 68, as a specific consultation body with respect to Local Plans at paragraph 9.6 and 9.7 page 31 as well as with regard to planning applications at paragraph 13.22, page 47 and Appendix 5, page 80. Would welcome notification of proposed neighbourhood areas as well as draft plans. Would welcome early informal consultation where issues may benefit from early involvement.	Noted. Noted, we will action this.	None. None in relation to the SCI.
Milton Keynes Council	Milton Keynes Council (MKC) welcome Bedford Borough Council's updated Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). We are supportive of Part 1 of the document, and in particular of the recognition in Figure 6, page 31 of the SCI that adjoining Local	Noted.	

7(3)

Respondent	Summary of representation	Council response	Actions
	<p>Planning Authorities are classified as a “specific consultation body” in terms of consultation for local plans. We are also supportive of the commitments made in Appendix 1 to notify adjacent Local Planning Authorities and adjacent Town and Parish Councils of consultation on local plans and CIL and of consultations on SPDs and Neighbourhood Plans if considered to have an interest in the subject of the document.</p> <p>Part 2 of the SCI refers specifically to publicity and consultation in development management, including planning applications. We are supportive of this section in general. However, we would like to request clarification on whether adjoining Local Planning Authorities and adjoining Town and Parish Councils would be notified of applications that have potential cross-boundary impacts. MKC would wish to be notified of any planning applications for strategic sites in close proximity to their border. We also think it would be useful for neighbouring Town and Parish Councils to be notified of any such applications. We recommend that an additional paragraph be added after paragraph 13.25 of the SCI to clarify the instances when adjoining Local Planning Authorities and adjoining Town and Parish Councils would be notified of a planning application.</p>	<p>Agree. The authority uses its discretion to determine when it will notify neighbouring authorities, but it has in the past always consulted neighbouring authorities on applications of significance close to the boundary. This is the same for parish councils in neighbouring authorities. We are content that this could be helpfully clarified in the SCI.</p>	<p>Insert new 13.19 Adjoining Local Planning Authorities and adjoining town and parish councils. “13.19 At Bedford Borough Council’s discretion, adjoining local planning authorities, town and parish councils are consulted on applications of significance proposed close to the borough boundary.” Consequential re-numbering of 13.20-13.25.</p>
Natural England	No specific comments.	Noted.	None

Respondent	Summary of representation	Council response	Actions
	We are supportive of the principle of meaningful and early engagement of the general community, community organisations and statutory bodies in local planning matters, both in terms of shaping policy and participating in the process of determining planning applications.		
Pavenham PC	Supports revised SCI provided all individuals/organisations who have been involved in the past remain involved in the future	Noted.	None